

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADIL HIRAMANNEK, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
L. MICHAEL CLARK, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-00228-RMW](#)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S EX  
PARTE MOTION FOR MULTIPLE  
RELIEF**

**(Re: Docket No. 381)**

The court has ordered that any further discovery motions from any party to this case are to be filed as noticed motions and will be briefed on the court's regular schedule pursuant to Civ. L.R. 7-2(a).<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff Adil HirananeK nevertheless has filed another ex parte motion for discovery relief.<sup>2</sup> In part, HirananeK asks the court to issue a third-party subpoena on Sylvia Sanchez as a way to obtain documents from Defendant Beth Miller. This belongs in a noticed motion to compel production from Miller. Similarly, HirananeK can pursue the other relief he seeks in a motion to compel. Consistent with its previous order, the court DENIES the instant motion.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: November 18, 2015



PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 340.

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. 381.